## Message

From: Bertrand, Charlotte [Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 11/3/2017 6:34:37 PM

To: Dunton, Cheryl [Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov]

CC: Beck, Nancy [Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]; Strauss, Linda [Strauss.Linda@epa.gov]; Wise, Louise [Wise.Louise@epa.gov];

Hanley, Mary [Hanley.Mary@epa.gov]

Subject: Re: New version for review: Latest messaging re: emerging contaminants

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 3, 2017, at 1:34 PM, Dunton, Cheryl < <u>Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov</u>> wrote:

Also on Charlotte's questions:

I confirmed that the statement about PFAS being a concern for waterways came from OW.

The statements about the investigation have been included in our other public statements so I don't think we'd be making anything public here for the first time. OW, who has the lead for pulling together this document, is double checking that.

From: Dunton, Cheryl

**Sent:** Friday, November 03, 2017 1:11 PM **To:** Beck, Nancy < <u>beck.nancy@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte < Bertrand. Charlotte@epa.gov >; Strauss, Linda < Strauss. Linda@epa.gov >; Wise,

Louise < Wise.Louise@epa.gov >; Hanley, Mary < Hanley.Mary@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: New version for review: Latest messaging re: emerging contaminants

So something like this?

## **Deliberative Process / Ex. 5**

From: Beck, Nancy

**Sent:** Friday, November 03, 2017 1:05 PM **To:** Dunton, Cheryl < <u>Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Wise,

Louise < Wise.Louise@epa.gov>; Hanley, Mary < Hanley.Mary@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: New version for review: Latest messaging re: emerging contaminants

Thanks.should 'could be' instead be 'were'?

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP

P: 202-564-1273

M: Deliberative Process / Ex. 5
Beck. Nancy@epa.gov

On Nov 3, 2017, at 12:53 PM, Dunton, Cheryl < <u>Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov</u>> wrote:

Whoops sorry about that. It should read:

## **Deliberative Process / Ex. 5**

From: Beck, Nancy

Sent: Friday, November 03, 2017 12:52 PM

To: Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>; Bertrand, Charlotte

<Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>

**Cc:** Strauss, Linda < <a href="mailto:Strauss.Linda@epa.gov">Strauss, Linda <a href="mailto:Strauss.Linda@epa.gov">Strauss, Linda <a href="mailto:Strauss.Linda@epa.gov">Strauss.Linda@epa.gov</a></a>;

Hanley, Mary < Hanley. Mary@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: New version for review: Latest messaging re: emerging contaminants

Cheryl,

I like your new bullet--this works and is what I was looking for. However the last sentence in that bullet is a bit unclear—maybe missing a word?

thanks

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT

Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP

P: 202-564-1273

M: Personal Phone / Ex. 6

beck.nancy@epa.gov

From: Dunton, Cheryl

Sent: Friday, November 3, 2017 12:45 PM

To: Bertrand, Charlotte < Bertrand. Charlotte@epa.gov >; Beck, Nancy

<<u>Beck.Nancy@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Wise, Louise <Wise.Louise@epa.gov>;

Hanley, Mary < Hanley. Mary@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: New version for review: Latest messaging re: emerging contaminants

Thanks Charlotte. Checking on both of your questions.

From: Bertrand, Charlotte

**Sent:** Friday, November 03, 2017 12:35 PM

**To:** Dunton, Cheryl < <u>Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov</u>>; Beck, Nancy < <u>Beck.Nancy@epa.gov</u>> **Cc:** Strauss, Linda < <u>Strauss.Linda@epa.gov</u>>; Wise, Louise < <u>Wise.Louise@epa.gov</u>>;

Hanley, Mary < Hanley. Mary@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: New version for review: Latest messaging re: emerging contaminants

Only other comment is on the following bullet:

<!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->PFAs are an environmental and public health concern, especially in America's waterways and some drinking water, because of their wide-spread use, stability in the environment and possible health effects.

I am not aware of a large scale study sampling for PFASs in waterways ... did this statement come from OW?

Thanks!

From: Dunton, Cheryl

Sent: Friday, November 03, 2017 11:55 AM To: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>

Cc: Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Wise, Louise <Wise.Louise@epa.gov>;

Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Hanley, Mary

<Hanley.Mary@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: New version for review: Latest messaging re: emerging contaminants

Added a bullet on our PMN review of GenX as well as other chemicals that are submitted as PMNs. See attached. Let me know if this addresses your concerns. Thanks.

From: Beck, Nancy

Sent: Thursday, November 02, 2017 5:28 PM To: Dunton, Cheryl < <u>Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Strauss, Linda < <a href="mailto:Strauss.Linda@epa.gov">Strauss, Linda <a href="mailto:Strauss.Linda@epa.gov">Strauss.Linda@epa.gov</a>; Wise, Louise <a href="mailto:Wise.Louise@epa.gov">Wise.Louise@epa.gov</a>;

Bertrand, Charlotte < Bertrand. Charlotte@epa.gov >; Hanley, Mary

<Hanley.Mary@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: New version for review: Latest messaging re: emerging contaminants

Thanks.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT

Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP

P: 202-564-1273

M: Personal Phone / Ex. 6

Beck.Nancy@epa.gov

On Nov 2, 2017, at 5:27 PM, Dunton, Cheryl < Dunton. Cheryl@epa.gov > wrote:

Ok I'll get w/ OPPT in the morning to work more on that piece.

From: Beck, Nancy

Sent: Thursday, November 02, 2017 5:27 PM To: Dunton, Cheryl < Dunton. Cheryl@epa.gov>

**Cc:** Strauss, Linda <<u>Strauss.Linda@epa.gov</u>>; Wise, Louise

<<u>Wise.Louise@epa.gov</u>>; Bertrand, Charlotte <<u>Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov</u>>; Hanley, Mary <<u>Hanley.Mary@epa.gov</u>> **Subject:** Re: New version for review: Latest messaging re: emerging contaminants

I like that it's more correct now but it still sort of leaves a hole since we do have enough information for us to make our determination. I'm just not sure how we articulate that.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273
M: Personal Phone / Ex. 6
Beck. Nancy@epa.gov

On Nov 2, 2017, at 5:06 PM, Dunton, Cheryl < <a href="Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov">Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov</a>> wrote:

help?